Genetic polymorphisms regulating dopamine signaling in the frontal cortex interact to affect target detection under high working memory load

Christopher T. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Theresa Swift-Scanlan<sup>2</sup>, Charlotte A. Boettiger<sup>1,3</sup>

## **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Charlotte A. Boettiger, Ph.D. Psychology Department Davie Hall, CB#3270 University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3270 cab@unc.edu

ph: 919-962-2119 fax: 919-962-2537

## SUPPLEMENTARY Material

**Table S1.** *n*-back task performance for lure stimuli by genotype group

|                         | COMT<br>Val/Val<br>D-32 C<br>( <i>n</i> = 15) | COMT<br>Val/Met<br>D-32 C<br>(n = 20) | COMT<br>Met/Met<br>D-32 C<br>(n = 9) | COMT<br>Val/Val<br>D-32 T/T<br>( <i>n</i> =14) | COMT<br>Val/Met<br>D-32 T/T<br>( <i>n</i> =23) | COMT<br>Met/Met<br>D-32 T/T<br>( <i>n</i> =16) |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Lure Accuracy<br>(%)    |   |                                       |                                      |  |  |  |
| 2-back                  | $70.3 \pm 4.8$                                | $79.8 \pm 4.0$                        | $64.8 \pm 5.9$                       | $73.1 \pm 4.9$                                 | $80.8 \pm 3.8$                                 | $74.5 \pm 4.5$                                 |
| 3-back                  | 60.1 ± 4.5                                    | 62.0 ± 3.8                            | $69.4 \pm 5.6$                       | $66.2 \pm 4.6$                                 | $62.8 \pm 3.5$                                 | 63.4 ± 4.2                                     |
| Lure Correct RT<br>(ms) |   |                                       |                                      |  |  |  |
| 2-báck                  | $788.8 \pm 39.2$                              | $795.5 \pm 33.0$                      | 821.6 ± 48.4                         | $809.0 \pm 39.5$                               | 839.2 ± 30.8                                   | 898.7 ± 36.6                                   |
| 3-back                  | 740.7 ± 48.7                                  | 807.6 ± 40.9                          | 758.3 ± 60.1                         | 762.4 ± 49.1                                   | 766.7 ± 38.2                                   | 799.7 ± 45.4                                   |

**Table S1:** Values are reported as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Reported *p*-values reflect interaction effects from 3×2 ANOVA with sex and white ethnicity included as covariates. Exact *p*-values reported unless p < 0.001.

Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 26(2), 395-407.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Curriculum in Neurobiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>School of Nursing, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, Biomedical Research Imaging Center, and Bowles Center for Alcohol Studies, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill